arXiv:1511.04291v1 [math.AT] 13 Nov 2015

Control of fixed points for p-toral groups, and existence and uniqueness of linking systems

RÉMI MOLINIER

The existence and uniqueness of linking systems associated to saturated fusion systems over discrete p-toral groups was proved by Levi and Libman. Their proof make indirectly use of the classification of the finite simple groups. Here we extend some results and arguments of Glauberman and Lynd to show how to remove this assumption.

;

The notion of p-local compact groups is due to Broto, Levi and Oliver [BLO3]. It encodes in an algebraic way the p-local homotopy theory of compact Lie groups, p-compact groups, and some other families of similar nature. In particular, the theory of p-local compact groups includes the theory of p-local finite groups (see [BLO2] or [AKO], Chapter III). Specifically, a p-local compact group is a triple $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ where S is a discrete p-toral group, $\mathcal{F}$ is a saturated fusion system over S, and $\mathcal{L}$ is an associated centric linking system. One basic question about p-local compact groups is, given a saturated fusion system over a p-toral group S, the existence and uniqueness of an associated linking system.

In the theory of p-local finite groups, Chermak was the first to succeed in answering this question. In [Ch], using a theory of partial groups and localities, he shows that, given a saturated fusion system over a finite p-group S, there exists an associated centric linking system which is unique up to isomorphism. His theory gives also a totally new and more group-theoretic approach to p-local finite groups. Later, Oliver [O5], translated Chermak's proof into the language of obstruction theory, and then Levi and Libman [LL] extended Oliver's proof to p-local compact groups. Thus:

Theorem 1 ([LL],Theorem B) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group. Then there exists a centric linking system $\mathcal{L}$ associated to $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{L}$ is unique up to isomorphism.*

Chermak's proof of the existence and uniqueness of centric linking systems uses the classification of finite simple groups in an indirect way. As a consequence, the proof of Oliver and the extension to p-local compact groups by Levi and Libman also rely on the classification of finite simple groups. Recently, Glauberman and Lynd [GL] succeeded, using a result of Glauberman [Gl71] on control of fixed points, in giving a classification-free proof of the p-local finite version of Theorem 1.

In this article, we present an extension of arguments of Glauberman and Lynd to the more general setup of p-local compact groups, and this complete the process of removing the classification of finite simple groups from the proof of Theorem 1.

Oliver's obstrutcion theory, and its extension to p-local compact groups by Levi and Libman, give a link between existence and uniqueness of linking systems and a vanishing property of higher limits of a certain functor. For $\mathcal{F}$ a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S, let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$ be the associated centric orbit category and let $\mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{A}\mathrm{b}$ denote the functor that associates to a subgroup its center. The existence and uniqueness of centric linking systems can be translated as follows in terms of obstruction theory.

Proposition 1 ([LL], Proposition 1.7) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. An associated centric linking system exists if $\varprojlim^3_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)} \mathcal{Z} = 0$, and if $\varprojlim^2_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)} \mathcal{Z} = 0$, then it is unique up to an isomorphism.*

Using the ideas of Chermak, Oliver [O5] in the p-local finite group case, and Levi and Libman [LL] in the general case, proved the following Theorem which implies Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. Then, for all $i > 1$ if $p = 2$, and all $i > 0$ otherwise,*

$$\varprojlim^i_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)} \mathcal{Z} = 0.$$

Proof This is Theorem B in [LL]. When p is odd, this also follows from the proof of [LL] Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 2.6 below. When $p = 2$, it also follows from the proof of [LL] Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.29 below □

In the case of p-local finite groups, Glauberman and Lynd [GL] gave a new proof of Theorem 2 which does not rely on the classification of finite simple groups. The key is to get a better understanding of control of fixed points of finite groups acting on abelian p-groups. They reduced the problem to the study of a small set of possible cases which derive sfrom McLaughlin's classification of irreducible subgroups of $SL_n(2)$ generated by transvections [McL69]. Here we extend the arguments in [GL] to p-local compact groups in order to get a classification-free proof of Theorem 2 and hence also of Theorem 1.

In section 1 we give some background on discrete p-toral groups and offenders. Then we look at the case $p \neq 2$ in section 2. This case is a direct result of [Gl71] Theorem A.1.4, called the Norm Argument, extended to actions of a finite group on an abelian discrete p-toral group. The case $p = 2$, developed in section 3 is more tricky. We need first the notion (introduced in [GL]) of solitary offenders, in order to split into two cases, either there is a minimal best offender which is not solitary or all minimal best offenders are solitary. We give the definitions in Subsection 3.1 and give some results which tell us when we can use the Norm Argument. In Subsection 3.2 we give the notion of $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor which extends the notion of Γ-functor defined by Glauberman in [Gl71] and which is also recalled in [GL] Appendix A. The principal results (in the even case) are given in the last two Subsections.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Andy Chermak for suggesting this problem and for fruitful discussions (especially concerning the case of symmetric groups of odd degree). I also want to thank Alex Gonzalez for useful discussions about p-local compact groups which have led to some simplifications in the arguments.

Notation

Conjugation maps and morphisms in a fusion system will be written on the right and in the exponent, while cocycle and cohomology classes for functors will be written on the left. For a group G we denote by $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$

the set of all the p-subgroups of G. For Y a subgroup of G, $\mathcal{S}_p(G)_{\geq Y}$ denotes the set of all the p-subgroups of G which contain Y. Finally, S_m will denote the symmetric group on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, and $SL_n(2)$ denotes the linear group of degree n over $\mathbb{F}_2$, the field with two elements.

1 Background

1.1 Virtual discrete p-toral groups

This section is drawn from [LL]. Let p be a prime, to be fixed for the remainder of this paper.

Definition 1.1 Let $\mathbb{Z}/p^\infty$ denote the union of all $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$, $r \geq 1$ with the obvious inclusions.

(a) A *discrete p-torus* is a group T isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p^\infty)^r$ for some r called the *rank* of T.

(b) A *virtually discrete p-toral group* is a group Γ which contains a normal discrete p-torus T of finite index. If moreover the index is a power of p, we say that Γ is a *discrete p-toral group*.

In every virtually discrete p-toral group Γ, there is a unique maximal subgroup of Γ which is isomorphic to a discrete p-torus.

This subgroup, denoted Γ_0, is a characteristic subgroup of Γ and is called the *maximal torus* or the *identity component* of Γ. A finite group is in particular a virtually discrete p-toral group in which G_0 is of rank 0, and it is a discrete p-toral group if and only if it is a p-group. Hence the theory of virtually discrete p-toral group includes the theory of finite groups.

The *order* of a virtually discrete p-toral group Γ is the pair

$$\mathrm{ord}(\Gamma) = \big(rk(\Gamma_0), |\Gamma/\Gamma_0|\big)$$

with the left lexicographic order.

Any subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ of a virtually discrete p-toral group is also a virtually discrete p-toral group. Moreover, $\mathrm{ord}(\Gamma') \leq \mathrm{ord}(\Gamma)$, with equality if and only if $\Gamma = \Gamma'$.

A virtually discrete p-toral group Γ contains a maximal normal discrete p-toral subgroup, denoted $O_p(\Gamma)$. It is the preimage in Γ of the maximal normal p-subgroup $O_p(\Gamma/\Gamma_0)$ and, in particular, contains Γ_0.

We also have a notion of p-Sylow subgroup in a virtually discrete p-toral group. If Γ is virtually discrete p-toral, Γ contains a maximal discrete p-toral subgroup S given by the preimage in Γ of a Sylow p-subgroup of Γ/Γ_0.

Definition 1.2 Let Γ be a virtually discrete p-toral group. A *Sylow p-subgroup* of Γ is a maximal discrete p-toral subgroup S of Γ. We denote by $\mathrm{Syl}_p(\Gamma)$ the collection of all the Sylow p-subgroups of Γ.

The terminology of Sylow subgroup is motivated by the fact that any discrete p-toral subgroup of Γ is conjugate to a subgroup of S. In particular, all the Sylow p-subgroups of Γ are conjugate.

Lemma 1.3 ([LL] Lemma 1.3) *(a) For any pair P, Q of discrete p-toral groups, if $P < Q$ then $P < N_Q(P)$.*

(b) (Frattini's Argument) Suppose that $\Gamma' \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ are virtually p-toral groups, and $S \in Syl_p(\Gamma')$. Then $\Gamma = \Gamma' N_\Gamma(S)$.

Definition 1.4 Let P be a discrete p-toral group. For every $n > 0$, denote by $\Omega_n(P)$ the subgroup of P consisting of those elements of P whose order divides p^n.

Notice that for every $n \geq 1$, $\Omega_n(P)$ is a characteristic subgroup of P.

Lemma 1.5 ([LL], Lemma 2.1) *Let D be an abelian discrete p-toral group. Then, the following hold:*

(a) $D \cong D_0 \times E$ where E is a finite abelian p-group. In particular, $D = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n(D)$;

(b) the only action of a discrete p-torus T on D is the trivial action;

(c) if $D \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ where Γ is a virtually discrete p-toral group, then $\Gamma_0 \leq C_\Gamma(D)$.

The following notion was introduced in [Ch]. It plays a central role here (as it did also in [O5] and [LL]).

Definition 1.6 A *general setup* is a triple (Γ, S, Y) where

(1) Γ is a virtually discrete p-toral group, $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\Gamma)$ and $Y \leq S$;

(2) $\Gamma_0 \leq Y \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ and $C_\Gamma(Y) \leq Y$.

A *reduced setup* is a general setup (Γ, S, Y) which satisfies

(3) $Y = O_p(\Gamma)$, $C_S(Z(Y)) = Y$, and $O_p(\Gamma/C_\Gamma(Z(Y))) = 1$.

1.2 Fusion systems over discrete p-toral groups

Definition 1.7 A *fusion system* over a discrete p-toral group S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S and whose morphisms are group monomorphisms such that

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_S(P, Q) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ for all $P, Q \leq S$, where $\mathrm{Hom}_S(P, Q)$ denotes the set of homomorphisms induced by conjugations in S;

(ii) every morphism is the composite of an $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism and an inclusion.

Two subgroups $P, Q \leq S$ are said to be *$\mathcal{F}$-conjugate* if they are isomorphic as objects in $\mathcal{F}$, and we denote by $P^{\mathcal{F}}$ the $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy class of P.

Definition 1.8 let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S and let P be a subgroup of S.

(a) P is *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$ if, for every $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$, $|N_S(Q)| \leq |N_S(P)|$.

(b) P is *fully centralized* in $\mathcal{F}$ if, for every $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$, $|C_S(Q)| \leq |C_S(P)|$.

(c) P is *fully automized* in $\mathcal{F}$ if the group $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)/\mathrm{Inn}(P)$ is finite and, $\mathrm{Out}_S(P) := \mathrm{Aut}_S(P)/\mathrm{Inn}(P)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$.

(d) P is *receptive* if, for every $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,P)$, if we set

$$N_\varphi = \left\{ g \in N_S(Q) \mid \varphi^{-1} c_g \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_S(P) \right\},$$

then there is $\overline{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_\varphi, N_S(P))$ such that $\overline{\varphi}|_Q = \varphi$.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}^f$ the set of all fully normalized subgroups of S.

Definition 1.9 A fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ over a discrete p-toral group S is *saturated* if

(I) Every $P \leq S$ which is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$ is fully centralized and fully automized ;

(II) Every $P \leq S$ which is fully centralized in $\mathcal{F}$ is receptivein $\mathcal{F}$; and

(III) If $P_1 \leq P_2 \leq \cdots \leq S$ is an increasing sequence of subgroups of S and if $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\cup_{i\geq 1} P_i, S)$ is a homomorphism such that $\varphi|_{P_i} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P_i, S)$ for all i, then $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\cup_{i\geq 1} P_i, S)$.

Notice that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S, for every $P \leq S$, by axioms (I) and (II), $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ is also finite.

The next Lemma is a classical result for fusion systems over finite p-groups which can easily be extended to fusion systems over discrete p-toral groups. It is a well known result, but sine the author did not find a reference for it, a proof is provided here.

Lemma 1.10 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral subgroup S. Let $P \leq S$. Assume that P is fully automized and receptive. Then, for every $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$, there exists $\varphi \in Hom_{\mathcal{F}}(N_S(Q), N_S(P))$ such that $\varphi(Q) = P$.*

Proof Assume P is fully automized and receptive and fix $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$. Choose $\psi \in \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$. Then $\mathrm{Out}_S(Q)^\psi$ is a p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ and hence, it is $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$-conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}_S(P)$ since P is fully automized. Fix $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}_S(Q)^{\psi\alpha}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}_S(P)$. Then, $N_{\psi\alpha} = N_S(Q)$ and so $\psi\alpha$ extends to some homomorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_S(Q), P)$ with, $P^\varphi = Q^{\psi\alpha} = P$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi) \leq N_S(P)$. □

One basic family of saturated fusion systems is given by fusion systems of virtually discrete p-toral groups. For Γ a virtually discrete p-toral group, let $\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ be the fusion system over S where, for every $P, Q \leq S$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)}(P,Q) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(P,Q)$ is the set of monomorphisms induced by conjugation in Γ.

Proposition 1.11 ([LL] Proposition 1.5) *Let Γ be a virtually discrete p-toral group and $S \in Syl_p(\Gamma)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ is saturated.*

Definition 1.12 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. A subgroup $P \leq S$ is *$\mathcal{F}$-centric* if for every $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$, $C_S(Q) = Z(Q)$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}^c$ the collection of $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroup of S. We also write $\mathcal{F}^c$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}$ with objects the $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups of S.

The following lemma will be useful when working with well-placed subgroups with respect to a conjugacy functor (Subsection 3.2). The author is grateful to Alex Gonzalez for pointing this out.

Lemma 1.13 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S and let P be a subgroup of S. Then $P^{\mathcal{F}}$ contains finitely many S-conjugacy classes.*

Proof Lemma 1.13 is a direct corollary of [BLO3] Lemma 2.5 with $Q = S$ in that Lemma. □

We finish by the notion of $\mathcal{F}$-weakly closed which appears several times in this paper.

Definition 1.14 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. A subgroup $P \leq S$ is *$\mathcal{F}$-weakly closed* if $P^{\mathcal{F}} = \{P\}$.

1.3 Higher limits of the center functor

Definition 1.15 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. The *orbit category*, denoted $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})$, is the category where the objects are the subgroups of S and with,

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})}(P,Q) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)/\mathrm{Inn}(Q).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})$ whose objects are the $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups of $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 1.16 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S.

(a) A collection $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(S)$ is an *interval* if $P \in \mathcal{R}$ whenever $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ and $P_1 \leq P \leq P_2$.

(b) A collection $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(S)$ is called *$\mathcal{F}$-invariant* if, for every $P \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,S)$, $P^{\varphi} \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definition 1.17 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. Let $\mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c) \to \mathcal{A}\mathrm{b}$ denote the functor sending P to its center $Z(P)$.

(a) for an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{F}^c$, we denote by $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c}$ the functor $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c} : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c) \to \mathcal{A}\mathrm{b}$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c}(P) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}(P) = Z(P) & \text{if } P \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(b) If $\mathcal{R}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval in $\mathcal{F}^c$, set

$$L^*(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{R}) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)}{}^{*}\, \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c}$$

These higher derived limits are cohomology groups of a certain cochain complex $C^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c), \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c})$, in which k-cochains are maps on sequences of k-composable morphisms in the category. A 0-cochain is a map sending $P \in \mathcal{F}^c$ to an element $u(P) \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c}(P)$, and a 1-cochain is a map sending a morphism $P \xrightarrow{[\varphi]} Q$ to an element $t([\varphi]) \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c}(P)$. With tis notational convention, the coboundary map on such 0- and 1-cochain are as follows:

$$du([\varphi]) = \begin{cases} u(Q)^{\varphi^{-1}} u(P)^{-1} & \text{if } P \in \mathcal{Q}, \text{ and} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \tag{1.3.1}$$

and

$$dt([\varphi][\psi]) = \begin{cases} t([\psi])^{\varphi^{-1}} t([\varphi\psi])^{-1} t([\varphi]) & \text{if } P \in \mathcal{Q}, \text{ and} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.3.2}$$

for any sequence $P \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q \xrightarrow{\psi} R$ of composable morphisms in $\mathcal{F}^c$. We refer to [AKO] Section III.5.1 for more details.

Lemma 1.18 ([LL], Lemma 1.15) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. Assume that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval in $\mathcal{F}^c$ such that $S \in \mathcal{Q}$,and denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{Q}})$ the full subategory of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$ with the set $\mathcal{Q}$ as set of objects.*

(a) Let $F : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)^{op} \to \mathcal{A}b$ be a functor such that $F(P) = 0$ if $P \in \mathcal{F}^c \setminus \mathcal{Q}$. Let $F|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ denote its restriction to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{Q}})^{op}$. Then,

$$\varprojlim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)}{}^{*} F = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{Q}})}{}^{*} F|_{\mathcal{Q}}$$

(b) Suppose that (Γ, S, Y) is a general setup and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{F}_{\geq Y}$. Then

$$L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} Z(\Gamma) & \text{if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k>0 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1.19 ([LL], Lemma 1.16) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be $\mathcal{F}$-invariant intervals in $\mathcal{F}^c$ such that:*

(i) $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$;

(ii) $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R}$ is an interval in $\mathcal{F}^c$;

(iii) if $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and $R \in \mathcal{R}$, then $Q \not\leq R$.

Then there is a short exact sequence of functor $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{Q}\cup\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{F}^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{F}^c} \longrightarrow 0$ and a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L^0(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow L^0(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow L^0(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

In particular, the following statements hold.

(a) If $L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}) = 0 = L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q})$ for some $k \geq 0$, then $L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R}) = 0$.

(b) Assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ with (Γ, S, Y) is a general setup, and $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F}_{\geq Y}$. Then for any $k \geq 2$,

$$L^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q}) \cong L^k(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}),$$

and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{Z(Y)}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow C_{Z(Y)}(\Gamma^*) \longrightarrow L^1(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\Gamma^* = \{g \in \Gamma \mid P^g \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{Q}\}$.

Lemma 1.20 ([LL] Lemma 1.20) *Let (Γ, S, Y) be a general setup, Γ_0 a normal subgroup of Γ containing Y, and $S_0 = S \cap \Gamma_0$. Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_{S_0}(\Gamma_0)$. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval such that $S \in \mathcal{Q}$ and such that $\Gamma_0 \cap Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ whenever $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Set $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{Q \in \mathcal{Q} \mid Q \leq \Gamma_0\}$. Then restriction induces an injection*

$$L^1(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow L^1(\mathcal{F}_0; \mathcal{Q}_0) .$$

1.4 Offenders

Definition 1.21 For S a discrete p-toral group set,

$$d(S) = \sup\{\mathrm{ord}(A)\,;\, A \text{ is an abelian subgroup of } S\},$$
$$\mathcal{A}(S) = \{A \leq S \mid A \text{ is abelian and } \mathrm{ord}(A) = d(S)\}.$$

We define the Thompson subgroup of S by $J(S) = \langle \mathcal{A}(S) \rangle$.

Definition 1.22 Let G be a finite group which acts faithfully on an abelian discrete p-toral group D. An *offender* in G on D is an abelian p-group such that

(i) $D_0 \leq C_D(A)$; and

(ii) $|A| \geq |D/C_A(D)|$.

Definition 1.23 Let G be a finite group which acts faithfully on an abelian discrete p-toral group D. A *best offender* in G on D is an abelian p-subgroup $A \leq G$ such that,

(i) $D_0 \leq C_D(A)$ and

(ii) $|A| \cdot |C_D(A)/D_0| \geq |B| \cdot |C_D(B)/D_0|$ for each $B \leq A$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_D(G)$ be the set of all nontrivial best offenders in G on D.

By taking $B = 1$ in (ii), one sees that a best offender is in particular an offender. Conversely, every nontrivial offender contains a nontrivial best offender: take $B \leq A$ such that $|B||C_B(D)/D_0|$ is maximal among all nontrivial subgroups of A.

An important result about offenders is Timmesfeld's Replacement Theorem. Levi and Libman gave a generalization of this to actions on discrete p-toral groups.

Definition 1.24 Let G be a finite group which acts on an abelian group V. We say that G acts *quadratically* if $[V, G, G] := [[V, G], G] = 1$. If G acts faithfully on V, a best offender of G on V is a *quadratic best offender* if it acts quadratically on V.

Theorem 1.25 ([LL],Theorem 2.11) *Let V be a nontrivial abelian discrete p-toral group and let A be a nontrivial finite abelian p-group. suppose A acts faithfully on V and that it is a best offender on V. Then there exists a nontrivial subgroup $B \leq A$ such that B is a quadratic best offender on V.*

One fundamental key here is the following Lemma. It allows us to navigate between action on an abelian discrete p-toral group and an action on a finite abelian p-group.

Lemma 1.26 ([LL], Lemma 2.7) *Let A be a finite group acting on an abelian discrete p-toral group V. Then there is some $N > 0$ such that the following statement holds for all $n \geq N$:*

(a) $V = V_0 + \Omega_n(V)$;

(b) $C_A(V) = C_A(\Omega_n(V))$.

If in addition A acts faithfully on V and trivially on V_0, then

(c) for any $B \leq A$, $|C_{\Omega_n(V)}(B)| = |C_V(B)/V_0| \cdot |\Omega_n(V_0)|$ and hence,

(d) any $B \leq A$ is a (quadratic) best offender on V if and only if it is a (quadratic) best offender on $\Omega_n(V)$.

We finish with some notations for subgroups which are constructed in the same way as the Thompson subgroup. Here $\mathcal{A}$ will be, in general, a set of offenders in G on D.

Definition 1.27 Let (Γ, S, Y) be a general setup, set $D = Z(Y)$ and $G = \Gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G-invariant set of abelian subgroups of G. For any subgroup $H \leq G$, let $\mathcal{A} \cap H = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid A \leq H\}$ and $J_{\mathcal{A}}(H) = \langle \mathcal{A} \cap H \rangle$. For any subgroup $H \leq \Gamma$, set $J_{\mathcal{A}}(H, D)$ (or $J_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ when D is understood) be the preimage in H of $J_{\mathcal{A}}(HC_\Gamma(D)/C_\Gamma(D))$.

Remark 1.28 If H' is a subgroup of H then $J_{\mathcal{A}}(H') \subseteq J_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ and if $J_{\mathcal{A}}(H) \leq H' \leq H$ then $J_{\mathcal{A}}(H) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(H')$. In particular, $J_{\mathcal{A}}(J_{\mathcal{A}}(H)) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(H)$.

2 The odd case

2.1 The norm argument

For a finite group G which acts on an abelian discrete p-toral group V (written multiplicatively), and a subgroup H of G define the *norm map* $\mathcal{N}_H^G : C_V(H) \to C_V(G)$ by

$$\mathcal{N}_H^G(v) = \prod_{g \in [G/H]} v^g$$

for each $v \in V$ and where $[G/H]$ is a set of representatives of the right cosets of H in G. As the domain is $C_V(H)$, the definition of $\mathcal{N}_H^G$ is independent of the choice of representative. We say that $\mathcal{N}_H^G = 1$ on V if the map is constant, equal to the identity of V. Since $\mathcal{N}_H^G = \mathcal{N}_K^G \mathcal{N}_H^K$ whenever $H \leq K \leq G$, one sees that $\mathcal{N}_H^G = 1$ on V whenever $\mathcal{N}_H^K$ or $\mathcal{N}_K^G$ is 1 on V.

Theorem 2.1 ([GL] Theorem 3.2) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_p(G)$, and P be a p-group on which G acts. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nonempty set of subgroups of S, and set $J = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Assume that J is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$-weakly closed and that*

(2.1.1)

whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $g \in G$, $A \not\leq S^g$, and V is a composition factor of P under G, then $\mathcal{N}_{A \cap S^g}^A = 1$ on V.

Then $C_P(G) = C_P(N_G(J))$.

Proof This is stated in Glauberman and Lynd [GL] as Theorem 3.2. A proof can be found in [Gl71] Theorem A1.4. □

Corollary 2.2 *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_p(G)$, and let D be an abelian p-group on which G acts. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nonempty set of subgroups of S, and set $J = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Assume that J is $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$-weakly closed and that there exists $N > 0$ such that, for every $n \geq N$, condition (2.1.1) is satisfied for $P = \Omega_n(D)$. Then $C_D(G) = C_D(N_G(J))$.*

Proof First, as $N_G(J) \leq G$, we have that $C_D(N_G(J)) \geq C_D(G)$. Secondly, by Theorem 2.1, we have for every $n \geq N$ that $C_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) = C_{\Omega_n(D)}(N_G(J))$. Moreover, by Lemma 1.5, $D = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n(D)$. Hence, if $d \in C_D(N_G(J))$, there exists $n > N$ such that $d \in C_D(N_G(J)) \cap \Omega_n(D) = C_{\Omega_n(D)}(N_G(J)) = C_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) \leq C_D(G)$, and thus $d \in C_D(G)$. □

2.2 Application of the norm argument

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [GL] Lemma 3.8) *Let (Γ, S, Y) be a general setup for the prime p. Let $D = Z(Y)$ and for every $X \subseteq \Gamma$ denote by $\overline{X}$ its image in $G = \Gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$. If $Q \leq \Gamma$ is a subgroup containing $C_S(D)$, then $\overline{N_\Gamma(Q)} = N_G(\overline{Q})$.*

Proof The proof is exactly the same as that of [GL] Lemma 3.8. The key is Frattini's Argument which is also true for virtually discret p-toral group (Lemma 1.3 part (b)).

Let N be the preimage of $N_G(\overline{Q})$ in Γ. We have clearly $C_\Gamma(D)N_\Gamma(Q) \leq N$. For the other inclusion, remark that $QC_\Gamma(D)$ is normal in N and that $QC_\Gamma(D) \cap S = QC_S(D) = Q$ (Q contains $C_S(D)$) is a Sylow p-subgroup of $QC_\Gamma(Q)$ (D is normal in Γ and thus fully centralized in $\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$). Hence, by Frattini's Argument (Lemma 1.3 part (b)), $N = (QC_\Gamma(D))N_N(Q) \leq QC_\Gamma(D)N_\Gamma(Q) = C_\Gamma(Q)N_\Gamma(Q)$. □

When (Γ, S, Y) is a reduced setup $C_S(D) = Y$, and thus, by Lemma 2.3, for every subgroup $P \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$, $N_G(\overline{P}) = \overline{N_\Gamma(P)}$.

Lemma 2.4 ([GL], Lemma 3.1) *Let A be a p-group acting on an elementary abelian p-group V.*

(a) If p is odd and A acts quadratically on V, then $\mathcal{N}_{A_0}^A = 1$ on V for every proper subgroup A_0 of A.

(b) If $p = 2$, then $\mathcal{N}_{A_0}^A = 1$ on V for every subgroup A_0 of A satisfying either of the following conditions:

(i) $|A : A_0| \geq 2$ and $C_V(A_0) = C_V(A)$, or

(ii) $|A : A_0| \geq 4$ and A acts quadratically on V.

The following is a generalization of [LL] Proposition 3.3 for odd primes.

Proposition 2.5 (cf. [GL] Proposition 3.8) *Let (Γ, S, Y) be a reduced setup, set $D = Z(Y)$, $G = \Gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G-invariant collection of p-subgroup of G, each of which acts nontrivially and quadratically on D. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval of subgroups of S such that $Y \in \mathcal{R}$ and $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \notin \mathcal{R}$. If p is odd, then*

$$L^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}) = 0.$$

Proof Set $\mathcal{Q}$ the set of subgroups of S which contain Y and are not in $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\Gamma^* \subseteq \Gamma$ be the set of those $g \in \Gamma$ for which there is $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $Q^g \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are $\mathcal{F}$-invariant intervals which satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 1.19. Hence it is enough to show that $C_D(\Gamma) = C_D(\Gamma^*)$ by part (b) of Lemma 1.19.

Let $\overline{S}$ be the image of S in $G = \Gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$. As $\mathcal{A}$ is G-invariant, $J_\mathcal{A}(\overline{S})$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{S}}(G)$-weakly closed and, as each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ acts quadratically on D, by Lemma 2.4 the hypotheses of Corollary 2.2 are satisfied. then,

$$C_D(\Gamma) = C_D(G) = C_D(N_G(J_\mathcal{A}(\overline{S})))$$

As $C_S(D) \leq J_\mathcal{A}(S,D) = J_\mathcal{A}(S)$ by definition, by Lemma 2.3 $N_G(J_\mathcal{A}(\overline{S})) = N_\Gamma(J_\mathcal{A}(S))$ and then $C_D(\Gamma) = C_D(N_\Gamma(J_\mathcal{A}(S))$. Since $J_\mathcal{A}(S,D) \in \mathcal{Q}$ by assumption, we have $N_\Gamma(J_\mathcal{A}(S)) \subseteq \Gamma^*$ by the definiton of Γ^*. Thus,

$$C_D(\Gamma) = C_D(N_\Gamma(J_\mathcal{A}(S))) \geq C_D(\Gamma^*) \geq C_D(\Gamma)$$

(the last inequality holds because $\Gamma^* \subseteq \Gamma$) and this completes the proof. □

As in the finite case, we can obtain some simplifications in the proof of [LL] Proposition 3.5, when p is odd.

Proposition 2.6 *Let (Γ, S, Y) be a general setup for the prime p. Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$, $D = Z(Y)$ and $G = \Gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$. Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval such that for all $Q \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$, $Q \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $J_{\mathcal{A}_D(G)}(Q) \in \mathcal{R}$. If p is odd, then $L^k(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{R}) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.*

Proof Let $(\Gamma, S, Y, \mathcal{R}, k)$ be a counter-example for which the four-tuple $(k, \mathrm{ord}(\Gamma), |\Gamma/Y|, |\mathcal{R}|)$ is minimal with respect to the lexicographic order. Steps 1, 2 and 3 in the proof of [LL], Proposition 3.5 show that $\mathcal{R} = \{R \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y} \leq S \mid J_{\mathcal{A}_D(G)}(R) = Y\}$, $k = 1$ (since p is odd) and (Γ, S, Y) is a reduced setup.

Let then $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of nontrivial quadratic best offenders in G on D. By Timmesfeld's Replacement Theorem (Theorem 1.25) each best offender contains a quadratic best offender. If $J_{\mathcal{A}_D(G)}(R) = Y$ (respectively, $J_\mathcal{A}(R) = Y$) then $\overline{R}$, the image of R in G, does not contain a non-trivial best offender (respectively, non-trivial quadratic best offender) of G on D. Hence, $J_\mathcal{A}(R) = Y$ if and only if $J_{A_D(G)}(R) = Y$.

Now, if $S \in \mathcal{R}$ then, since $\mathcal{R}$ is an interval, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$ and $L^k(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{R}) = 0$ for all $k > 1$ by Lemma 1.18 (b). Hence $S \notin \mathcal{R}$ and so $J_\mathcal{A}(S) \notin \mathcal{R}$. Then Proposition 2.5 show that $(\Gamma, S, Y, \mathcal{R}, 1)$ is not a counterexample. □

3 The even case

From now on, $p = 2$.

3.1 Norm arguments for $p = 2$

Here, we need a modified version of the Norm Argument (Corollary 2.2).

Theorem 3.1 ([GL], Theorem 4.1) *Suppose G is a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$, and let D an abelian 2-group on which G acts. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nonempty set of subgroups of S, and set $J = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Let H be a subgroup of G containing $N_G(J)$. Set $V = \Omega_1(D)$. Assume that J is weakly closed in S with respect to G, and*

$$\text{whenever } A \in \mathcal{A},\ g \in G,\ \text{and } A \not\leq H^g,\ \text{then } \mathcal{N}_{A \cap H^g}^A = 1 \text{ on } V,$$

or more generally,

$$\text{whenever } g \in G \text{ and } J \not\leq H^g,\ \text{then } \mathcal{N}_{J \cap H^g}^J = 1 \text{ on } V.$$

Then $C_D(H) = C_D(G)$.

Corollary 3.2 *Suppose G is a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$, and let D an abelian 2-toral group on which G acts. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nonempty set of subgroups of S, and set $J = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Let H be a subgroup of G containing $N_G(J)$. Set $V = \Omega_1(D)$. Assume that J is weakly closed in S with respect to G, and*

(3.1.1) $$\text{whenever } A \in \mathcal{A},\ g \in G,\ \text{and } A \not\leq H^g,\ \text{then } \mathcal{N}_{A \cap H^g}^A = 1 \text{ on } V,$$

or more generally,

(3.1.2) $$\text{whenever } g \in G \text{ and } J \not\leq H^g,\ \text{then } \mathcal{N}_{J \cap H^g}^J = 1 \text{ on } V.$$

Then $C_D(H) = C_D(G)$.

Proof Assume we are in the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2. For every $n \geq 1$, $\Omega_1(\Omega_n(D)) = \Omega_1(D) = V$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1 for every $n \geq 1$, $C_{\Omega_n(D)}(H) = C_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ and thus, as $D = \cup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n(D)$ by Lemma 1.5(a), the corollary holds. □

Definition 3.3 Let G be a finite group, $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$ and let D an abelian 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. A subgroup $A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)$ is an *over-offender* of G on D if $|A||C_D(A)/D_0| > |D/D_0|$. Set

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G) \mid |A||C_D(A)/D_0| > |D/D_0|\}.$$

For $\mathcal{A}$ a collection of subgroups of G, we denote by $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ the collection of members of $\mathcal{A}$ which are minimal under inclusion. We also write

$$\mathcal{A}_D(G)_2 = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G) \mid |A| = 2\};\ \text{and}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ_{\geq 4} = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ \mid |A| \geq 4\}.$$

Do not forget that a member of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)^\circ$, while minimal under inclusion in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)$, need not be minimal under inclusion in $\mathcal{A}_D(G)$. By Theorem 1.25, every member of $\mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ$ acts quadratically on D.

Remark 3.4 By Lemma 1.26, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ when n is large enough.

Remark 3.5 Assume G acts faithfully on D. If $A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$, then $|D/C_D(A)| = 2$. In particular, every member of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)$ is of order 4.

Lemma 3.6 (cf. [GL] Lemma 4.6) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ_{\geq 4} \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)^\circ$ and $V = \Omega_1(D)$. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is nonempty and H is a subgroup of G containing $N_G(J_{\mathcal{A}}(S))$. Then $\mathcal{A} \cap S$ satisfies (3.1.1).*

Proof Fix $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap S$ and $g \in G$ such that $A \neq H^g$ and let A_0 be a subgroup of A of index 2 that contains $A \cap H^g$. Suppose $\mathcal{N}_{A\cap H^g}^A$ is not 1 on V. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}\cap H^g}^A = \mathcal{N}_{A_0}^A \mathcal{N}_{A\cap H^g}^{A_0}$, $\mathcal{N}_{A_0}^A$ is not 1 on V and we have $C_V(A) < C_V(A_0)$ by Lemma 2.4(b). Thus, as G act trivially on D_0, $C_D(A)/D_0 < C_D(A_0)/D_0$, $2|C_D(A)/D_0| \leq |C_D(A_0)/D_0|$ and

$$|A_0||C_D(A)/D_0| \geq \frac{1}{2}|A| \cdot 2|C_D(A)/D_0| = |A||C_D(A)|. \tag{3.1.3}$$

If $A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ_{\geq 4}$, then A is a best offender minimal under inclusion subject to $A \neq 1$, so we have $A_0 = 1$ and $|A| = 2$. This contradict $|A| \geq 4$. Hence $A \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)^\circ$ and in particular $|A| \geq 4$. But then, $A_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)$ by (3.1.3) which contradict the minimality of A. □

Lemma 3.7 (cf. [GL] Lemma 4.8) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$. Assume that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \emptyset$ and that $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(a) If $[A,B] = 1$ and $A \neq B$, then $C_D(A) \neq C_D(B)$ and AB is quadratic on D.

(b) If $\langle A, B\rangle$ is a 2-group, then $[A,B] = 1$.

(c) $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ is elementary abelian.

(d) If $[A,B] \neq 1$, then $L := \langle A, B\rangle \cong S_3$, $[D,L]$ is elementary abelian of order 4, and $D = [D,L] \times C_D(L)$.

Proof Since A and B lies in $\mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$,

$$[D,A,A] = 1 = [D,B,B] \text{ and } |D/C_D(A)| = 2 \tag{3.1.4}$$

The statement (a), (b) and (c) follow from Lemma 1.26 and [GL] Lemma 4.8 applied with $D = \Omega_n(D)$ with n large enough.

To prove (d), set $L = \langle A, B\rangle$ and, by Lemma 1.26 (d), fix n large enough such that $\mathcal{A}_D(G) = \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ and $D = D_0 + \Omega_n(D)$. Then, by [GL] Lemma 4.8(c), $L \cong \S_3$. Moreover, by definition of offenders, A and B acts trivially on D_0. Thus, by [GL] Lemma 4.8(c), $[D,L] = [\Omega_n(D), L]$ is elementary abelian of order 4, and $D = [D,L] \times C_D(L)$. □

Definition 3.8 Let G be a finite group, $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$, $J = J_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ and $T \in \mathcal{A} \cap S$. We say that T is *solitary in G relative to S* if there is a group L in G containing T such that:

(i) $L \cong S_3$;

(ii) $J = T \times C_J(L)$ and $C_J(L) = \langle (\mathcal{A} \cap S) \smallsetminus \{T\}\rangle$; and

(iii) $D = [D,L] \times C_D(L)$ and $[D, L, C_J(L)] = 1$.

Denote by $\mathcal{T}_D(G)$ the collection of subgroups of G that are solitary relative to a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Set $\mathcal{B}_D(G) = \mathcal{A} \smallsetminus \mathcal{T}_D(G) = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G) \mid |A| = 2 \text{ and } A \notin \mathcal{T}_D(G)\}$.

Definition 3.9 Let G be a finite group, $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Let $S_0 \leq S$. We say that a subgroup $T \leq S_0$ is *semisolitary relative to S_0* if there are subgroups W and X of D that are normalized by $\langle \mathcal{A} \cap S_0\rangle$, such that

(i) $\langle \mathcal{A} \cap S_0\rangle = T \times \langle (\mathcal{A} \cap S_0) \smallsetminus \{T\}\rangle$; and

(ii) W is elementary abelian of order 4, $D = W \times X$, T centralizes X, and $\langle (\mathcal{A} \cap S_0) \setminus \{T\} \rangle$ centralizes W.

Remark 3.10 If $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \emptyset$, then given a solitary offender T of G relative to S, and given L as in Definition 3.8, we can take $W = [D, L]$ and $X = C_D(L)$ and see from Lemma 3.7(d) that T is semisolitary relative to some Sylow 2-subgroup of G.

A solitary offender is, by definition, of order 2 and thus is generated by a transvection when $D/C_D(G)$ is elementary abelian. If $G = S_3$ and $D/C_D(G) = C_2 \times C_2$, then we can take $L = G$ to see that each subgroup of order 2 in G is solitary. More generally, if G is a symmetric group of odd degree and $D/C_D(G)$ is a natural module for G, then each subgroup generated by a transposition is solitary. Indeed, given a Sylow 2-subgroup of G containing the transposition, L can be taken in this case to move only three points, namely the two points moved by the transposition and the unique point fixed by S. On the other hand, the property (b) in Definition 3.8, implies that $SL_n(2)$ ($n \geq 3$) and even-degree symmetric groups, for example, have no solitary offenders on their respective natural module, despite being generated by transvections. In fact, using [GL] Lemma 6.1 (and Lemma 3.12 bellow), we can see that if G is a finite group acting on a discrete p-toral group D such that $D/C_D(G)$ is elementary abelian and irreducible, and G is generated by transvections, then $\mathcal{T}_D(G)$ is nonempty if and only if G is a symmetric group of odd degree. Notice that [GL] Lemma 6.1 use MacLaughlin's classification of irreducible subgroups of $SL_n(2)$ generated by transvections. This is used in the proof of [GL] Theorem 6.2 which is recalled here in Theorem 3.27.

Lemma 3.11 (cf. [GL] Lemma 4.14) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Assume that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{T}_D(G) \cap S$ is the set of subgroups that are solitary relatively to S.*

Proof One containment follows from the definition. For the other one, set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_{\geq 2}$ and assume $T \in \mathcal{T}_D(G) \cap S$. Therefore, T is solitary relatively to a Sylow 2-subgroup S_1 of G. Choose $g \in G$ such that $S_1^g = S$ and set $J_1 = \langle \mathcal{A} \cap S_1 \rangle$ and $J = \langle \mathcal{A} \cap S \rangle$. Then J_1 is elementary abelian by Lemma 3.7, and $T^g \leq J_1^g = J$. Fix a subgroup $L_1 \cong S_3$ containing T and which satisfies the axioms of Definition 3.8 with S_1 and J_1 in the roles of S and J, respectively. Since J is abelian and weakly close in S with respect to $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, there is $h \in N_G(J)$ such that $T^{gh} = T$ by [GL] Lemma B.1. Setting $L = L_1^{gh}$, one establishes the validity of the axioms of Definition 3.8 for L, S and J from their validity for L_1, S_1 and J_1. □

Lemma 3.12 *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$, and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Then there exists $N \geq 1$ such that,*

(a) for every $m, n \geq N$, $m \leq n$ implies $\mathcal{T}_{\Omega_m(D)}(G) \supseteq \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\Omega_m(D)}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$;

(b) $\mathcal{T}_D(G) = \cap_{n \geq N} \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ and $\mathcal{B}_D(G) = \cup_{n \geq N} \mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$.

In particular, as G is finite, there exists M such that for every $n \geq M$, $\mathcal{T}_D(G) = \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ and $\mathcal{B}_D(G) = \mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$.

Proof By Lemma 1.26 (d), there exists N such that, for every $n \geq N$, we have $\mathcal{A}_D(G) = \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$. For every $n \geq m \geq N$, $\Omega_n(D) \geq \Omega_m(D)$. Thus if $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$, using the same L and J as in Definition 3.8, we have that $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_m(D)}(G)$. Therefore, (a) follows.

For (b) the two equalities are clearly equivalent and we prove only the first one. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$ ($= \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)_2$ for every $n \geq N$). let $L \leq G$ be a subgroup of G and $J = J_{\mathcal{A}_D(G)}(S)$ ($= J_{\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)}(S)$ for every $n \geq N$). Assume that properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.8 are satisfied.

If $D = [D,L] \times C_D(L)$ then, for every $n \leq N$, $\Omega_n(D) = [\Omega_n(D), L] \times C_{\Omega_n(D)}(L)$ ($\Omega_n(D)$ is characteristic). Moreover, $[D, L, C_J(L)] = 1$ implies $[\Omega_n(D), L, C_J(L)] = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{T}_D(G) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_D(G)$.

Conversely, by Lemma 1.5 (a), $D = \cup_{n\geq 1}\Omega_n(D)$. Hence, if $\Omega_n(D) = [\Omega_n(D), L] \times C_{\Omega_n(D)}(L)$ for every $n \geq N$, then, $D = [D,L] \times C_D(L)$ and if $[\Omega_n(D), L, C_J(L)] = 1$ for every $n \geq N$, then $[D, L, C_J(L)] = 1$. □

Lemma 3.13 (cf. [GL] Lemma 4.15) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_D(G)$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_D(G) = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{T}$. Assume $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \emptyset$ but $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Then for each subgroup $J^* \leq J_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$ that is weakly closed with respect to $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, $\mathcal{A} \cap S$ satisfy (3.1.2) with $H = N_G(J^*)$.*

Proof By Lemma 1.26 and Remark 3.4, $\mathcal{A}_D(G)_2 = \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_D(G)}(G)_2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \emptyset$ when n is large enough. Moreover by Lemma 3.12, with n large enough, $\mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) = \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Let us fix n large enough such that $\mathcal{A}_D(G)_2 = \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_D(G)}(G)_2$, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) = \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Then, by [GL] Lemma 4.15, for every subgroup $J^* \leq J_{\mathcal{B}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)}(S) = J_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$ that is weakly closed with respect to G, $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G) \cap S = \mathcal{A} \cap S$ satisfy (3.1.2) (recall that in Theorem 3.2 $V = \Omega_1(D) = \Omega_1(\Omega_n(D))$). Therefore, the Lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.14 (cf. [GL] Lemma 4.29) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Let $P \leq S$ and let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2 \cap P$ be the collection of semisolitary subgroups relative to P. If $A \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)$ normalize P, then A normalizes every element of P.*

Proof By Lemma 1.26, there is $n > 0$ such that $D = \Omega_n(D) \times D_0$. As $D_0 \leq C_D(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{A}_D(G)$, Definition 3.9 implies that T is semisolitary on D with respect to P if and only if T is semisolitary on $\Omega_n(D)$. Then, the Lemma follows from [GL] Lemma 4.29. □

3.2 Conjugacy families and conjugacy functors

We will need to use the notion of conjugacy funtor, or more precisely, we need an extended notion of conjugacy functor. This notion is introduced in [Gl71] Section 5 but one may also find some details in [GL] Appendix B. Here, we define the notion of conjugacy functor with respect to a fusion system instead of a group. In fact, we can easily see that almost everything about conjugacy functors in [Gl71] Section 5 can be translate in terms of saturated fusion systems.

Definition 3.15 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. An *$\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor* is a map $W : \mathcal{S}_p(S) \to \mathcal{S}_p(S)$ such that for all $P \leq S$,

(i) $W(P) \leq P$;

(ii) $W(P) \neq 1$ whenever $P \neq 1$; and

(iii) $W(P)^\varphi = W(P^\varphi)$ whenever $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(P,S)$.

Lemma 3.16 (cf. [GL] Lemma B.5) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral roup S, and W an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor. Then for all $P \leq S$,*

(a) $N_S(P) \leq N_S(W(P))$*;*

(b) $W(P) = W(N_S(W(P)))$ *if and only if* $W(P) = W(S)$*; and*

(c) $P = N_S(W(P))$ *if and only if* $P = S$.

Proof Part (a) follows from Definition 3.15 (III).

Let now $P \leq S$ and $T = N_S(W_S(P))$. If $W(T) = W(P)$ then, by (a), $N_S(T) \subseteq N_S(W(T)) = N_S(W(P)) = T$, thus $T = S$ by Lemma 1.3 (a) and $W(P) = W(T) = W(S)$. the converse is true, because $N_S(W(S)) = S$ by (a), and thus (b) holds.

If $P = T$, then again by (a), $N_S(P) \leq N_S(W(P)) = T = P$, and then $P = S$ by Lemma 1.3 (a). Finally, as $S = N_S(W(S))$, the converse holds. □

Definition 3.17 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. A *conjugacy family* for $\mathcal{F}$ is a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of subgroups of S such that every morphism in $\mathcal{F}$ is a composition of restrictions of $\mathcal{F}$-automorphisms of members of $\mathcal{C}$.

For exemple, by Alperin's Fusion Theorem ([BLO3], Theorem 3.6), if $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated the collection of $\mathcal{F}$-centric-radical subgroups of S is a conjugation family of $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 3.18 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S. Let W be an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor. For all subgroup $P \leq S$ and for all $i \geq 1$, set recursively,

$$W_1(P) = P \text{ and } W_i(P) = W(N_S(W_{i-1}(P))).$$

Then, P is said to be *well-placed with respect to* W if $W_i(P)$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized for all $i \geq 1$.

By Lemma 3.16 (a), for $i \geq 2$, we have

$$N_S(W_{i-1}(P)) \leq N_S(N_S(W_{i-1}(P))) \leq N_S(W(N_S(W_{i-1}(P)))) = N_S(W_i(P)). \tag{3.2.1}$$

Theorem 3.19 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S, and W an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor. If $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated, then*

(a) every subgroup $P \leq S$ is $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate to a well-placed subgroup of S; and

(b) the set of well-placed subgroups of S forms a conjugation family for $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof (a). Let $P \leq S$ and assume that P is not conjugate to a well-placed subgroup of S. By lemma 1.13, $P^\mathcal{F}$ contains finitely many S-conjugacy classes. Moreover every S-conjugate of a fully normalized subgroup of S is also fully normalized Hence, if $P, Q \leq S$ are S-conjugate, we have, by definition of W_i,

$$\min\{i \geq 1 \mid W_i(P) \text{ is not fully normalized}\} = \min\{i \geq 1 \mid W_i(Q) \text{ is not fully normalized}\}.$$

Let $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ be such that the $i_0 = \min\{i \geq 1 \mid W_i(Q) \text{ is not fully normalized}\}$ is maximum (Q exists by the previous remarks and only depends on its S-conjugacy class). As $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated, by axiom (I) of Definition 1.9, there exists $W_{i_0} \in (W_{i_0}(Q))^{\mathcal{F}}$ which is fully normalized. By axiom (II) of Definition 1.9, W_{i_0} is also fully automized and receptive. Hence by Lemma 1.10, there is $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_S(W_{i_0}(Q)), N_S(W_{i_0}))$ such that $\varphi(W_{i_0}(Q)) = Q_{i_0}$. Recall that by (3.2.1), for every $i \geq 2$, $N_S(W_{i-1}(Q)) \leq N_S(W_i(Q))$. In particular, $Q \leq N_S(W_{i_0}(Q))$. Consider $Q' = Q^{\varphi} \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq i_0$, by Definition 3.15 of a conjugacy functor, we have $W_i(Q') = W_i(Q)^{\varphi}$ (in particular, $W_{i_0}(Q') = W_{i_0}$ and $N_S(W_i(Q))^{\varphi} \leq N_S(W_{i_0})$ and normalizes $W_i(Q')$. Hence, for every $1 \leq i \leq i_0$, $W_i(Q')$ is fully normalized, which contradict sthe choice of Q.

(b). Assume that the set of well-placed subgroups is not defined a conjugacy family. Let P be maximum such that there is $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ such that φ is not a composite of restrictions of automorphisms of well-placed subgroups of S. As S is well-placed, we can assume that $P < S$ and thus $Q < S$. By (a) there exists $R \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$ well-placed and so fully normalized. By the saturation axioms (Definition 1.9), R is also fully automized and receptive and by Lemma 1.10, there is $\varphi_P \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_S(P), N_S(R))$ and $\varphi_Q \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_S(P), N_S(R))$ such that $P^{\varphi_P} = R$ and $Q^{\varphi_Q} = R$. we have the $\varphi = \varphi_P \varphi_P^{-1} \varphi \varphi_Q \varphi_Q^{-1}$. As $P < S$ and $Q < S$, by Lemma 1.3 (a), $P < N_S(P)$ and $Q < N_S(Q)$, and by maximality of P, φ_P and φ_Q are composites of restrictions of automorphisms of well-placed subgroups. Finally, $\varphi_P^{-1} \varphi \varphi_Q \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(R)$ and R is well-placed, thus $\varphi = \varphi_P \left(\varphi_P^{-1} \varphi \varphi_Q\right) \varphi_Q^{-1}$ is a composite of restrictions of automorphisms of well-placed subgroups of S. This contradicts the assumption on P and φ. □

3.3 Reduction to the transvection case

The objective of this section is to give a proof of [LL] Proposition 3.5 for $p = 2$ where some minimal offender under inclusion is not solitary. This result is obtained in Theorem 3.23.

Definition 3.20 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over a discrete p-toral group S and let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^c$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval. We say that a 1-cocycle for the functor $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is *inclusion-normalized* if it sends the class of any inclusion to the identity element.

In what follows, we only specify 0- and 1-cochains for the functor $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ on subgroups in $\mathcal{Q}$, and it is to be understood that they are the identity on $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups outside of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Lemma 3.21 (cf. [GL] Lemma 5.1) *Let Γ be a virtually discrete p-toral group, $S \in Syl_p(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^c$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval such that $S \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\Gamma^* \subseteq \Gamma$ be the subset of those $g \in \Gamma$ for which there is $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $Q^g \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then each 1-cocycle for $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is cohomologous to an inclusion-normalized 1-cocycle. Moreover, if t is an inclusion-normalized 1-cocycle, then:*

(a) $t([\varphi_1]) = t([\varphi_2])$ for each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & Q_2 \\ {\scriptstyle \iota_{P_1}^{Q_1}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{Q_1}^{Q_2}} \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & Q_1 \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{F}$ among subgroups of $\mathcal{Q}$.

(b) *The function* $\tau : \Gamma^* \to \Gamma^*$ *defined by the rule*

$$g^\tau = t([c_g])g,$$

is a bijection that restricts to the identity map on S *and,*

$$(g_1 g_2 \dots g_n)^\tau = g_1^\tau g_2^\tau \dots g_n^\tau,$$

for each collection of elements $g_i \in \Gamma^*$ *with the property that there is* $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ *such that* $Q^{g_1 \cdots g_n} \in \mathcal{Q}$ *for all* $1 \le i \le n$.

(c) $t = 0$ *if and only if* τ *is the identity on* Γ^*.

Proof Given a 1-cocycle t for $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{Q}}$, define a 0-cochain u by $u(P) = t([\iota_P^S])$ for each $P \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then, for any inclusion ι_P^Q in $\mathcal{F}$ with $P, Q \in \mathcal{Q}$,

$$du([\iota_P^Q]) = u(Q)u(P)^{-1} = t([\iota_Q^S])t([\iota_P^S])^{-1},$$

so that

$$(tdu)([\iota_P^Q]) = t([\iota_P^Q])t([\iota_Q^S])t([\iota_P^S])^{-1} = t([\iota_P^S])t([\iota_P^S])^{-1} = 1,$$

by the 1-cocycle identity 1.3.2. Hence tdu is inclusion-normalized.

Assume now that t is inclusion-normalized, and let P_i, Q_i, and φ_i be as in (a). Since t sends inclusions to the identity, the 1-cocycle identity 1.3.2 yields

$$t([\varphi_1 \iota_{Q_1}^{Q_2}]) = t([\iota_{Q_2}^{Q_1}])^{\varphi_1^{-1}} t([\varphi_1]) = t([\varphi_1]), \text{ and}$$
$$t([\varphi_1 \iota_{P_1}^{P_2}]) = t([\varphi_2])^{(\iota_{P_1}^{P_2})^{-1}} t([\iota_{P_1}^{P_2}]) = t([\varphi_2]),$$

and so (a) follows by commutativity of the given diagram.

Let τ be given as in (b). Since $g \in \Gamma^*$, the conjugation map $c_g : {}^gS \cap S \to S \cap S^g$ is a map between subgroups in $\mathcal{Q}$. Part (a) show that $t([c_g])$ agrees with the value of t on the class of each restriction of $[c_g]$ provided that the source and target of such a restriction lies in $\mathcal{Q}$. This shows that τ is well defined. Then τ is a bijection since its inverse is induced by t^{-1} (which is inclusion-normalized) in the same way. Besides, for $s \in S$, $[c_s] = [\mathrm{Id}_S]$ is the identity in the orbit category, and so τ is the identity map on S, since t is inclusion-normalized.

Let $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma^*$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $Q^{g_1} \le S$ and $Q^{g_1 g_2} \le S$. Then, by the 1-cocycle identity 1.3.2,

$$g_1^\tau g_2^\tau = t([c_{g_1}])g_1 t([c_{g_2}])g_2 = t([c_{g_1}])t([c_{g_2}])^{g_1^{-1}} g_1 g_2 = t([c_{g_1} c_{g_2}])g_1 g_2 = (g_1 g_2)^\tau.$$

Now (b) follows by induction on n.

(c) follows from the definition of τ. □

The function τ given in Lemma 3.21 (b) will be called the *rigid map* associated to the inclusion-normalized 1-cocycle t.

Lemma 3.22 (cf. [GL] Lemma 5.2) *Let* Γ *be a virtually discrete* p*-toral group,* $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\Gamma)$ *and* $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$. *Let* $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^c$ *be an* $\mathcal{F}$*-invariant interval such that* $S \in \mathcal{Q}$. *Let* t *be an inclusion-normalized* 1*-cocycle for the functor* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ *and let* τ *be the rigid map associated to* t.

(a) *For each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ which is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$, there is $z \in Z(N_S(Q))$ such that τ is conjugation by z on $N_\Gamma(Q)$.*

(b) *If $z \in Z(S)$, and u is the constant 0-cochain defined by $u(Q) = z$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, then du is inclusion-normalized, and the rigid map ν associated with du is conjugation by z on Γ^*.*

(c) *If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^f$ is a conjugation family for $\mathcal{F}$, and τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(Q)$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q}$, then τ is the identity on Γ^*.*

Proof Let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ be as in (a). From Lemma 3.21 (b), τ induces an automorphism of $N_\Gamma(Q)$ that is the identity on $N_S(Q)$. in the proof of [OV2] Lemma 1.2 it is shown that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z^1(\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q); Z(Q)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} & \mathrm{Aut}(N_\Gamma(Q), Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(Q) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q); Z(Q)) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathrm{Out}(N_\Gamma(Q), Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Out}(Q) \end{array} \tag{3.3.1}$$

with exact rows, where $\mathrm{Aut}(N_\Gamma(Q), Q)$ is the subgroup of automorphisms of $N_\Gamma(Q)$ that leaves Q invariant (and similarly for $\mathrm{Out}(N_\Gamma(Q), Q)$) and where $\tilde{\eta}$ maps the restriction of t (to $\mathrm{Out}_\Gamma(Q)$) to the restriction of τ to $N_\Gamma(Q)$. The restriction map $H^1(\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q); Z(Q)) \to H^1(\mathrm{Out}_S(Q); Z(Q))$ is injective since $\mathrm{Out}_S(Q)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ (Q is fully normalized and thus fully automized by 1.9 (I)). Since t is zero on $\mathrm{Out}_S(P)$, t represents the zero class in $H^1(\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q); Z(Q))$. It follows from (3.3.1) that τ induces an inner automorphism of $N_\Gamma(Q)$. As $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ and τ is the identity on $N_s(Q)$, τ is conjugation by an element in $Z(N_S(Q))$.

With u as in (b), we see that $du([\iota_P^Q]) = u(Q)u(P)^{-1} = zz^{-1}$, for any inclusion ι_P^Q with P in $\mathcal{Q}$ (and when $P \notin \mathcal{Q}$ by definition of the differential). Moreover, for $g \in \Gamma^*$,

$$g^\nu = du([c_g])g = z^{-1}z^{g^{-1}}g = g^z$$

Thus (b) holds.

Fix a conjugacy family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^f$ and assume that τ is the identity on$N_\Gamma(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Fix $g \in \Gamma^*$ and choose $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $Q^g \leq S$. Then there is $n \geq 1$, subgroups $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n \in \mathcal{C}$, and elements $g_i \in N_\Gamma(T_i)$ such that $g = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$, $Q \leq T_1$ and $Q^{g_1 \cdots g_i} \leq T_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. As $\mathcal{Q}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant and closed with respect to overgroups, $T_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ for each i. Since τ fixes g_i for each i by assumption, τ fixes g by Lemma 3.21(b). □

Theorem 3.23 (cf. [GL] Theorem 5.4) *Let (Γ, S, Y) be a reduced setup for the prime 2. Set $D = Z(Y)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$, $G = \gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)^\circ$, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_D(G)$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ and*

$$\mathcal{R} = \{P \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y} \mid J_{\mathcal{A}}(P) = Y\}.$$

Assume $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}) = 0$.

Proof If $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$, then, by Lemma 1.18(b), $L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{R}) = 0$. Hence we can assume that $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y} \setminus \mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}$ is nonempty. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is closed with respect to overgroups, $S \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, by definition of $\mathcal{R}$, $J_{\mathcal{A}}(J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q)) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q)$ for every $Q \leq S$. Therefore $J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q) \in \mathcal{Q}$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $\Gamma^* \subseteq \Gamma$ be the subset of those $g \in \Gamma$ for which there is $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $Q^g \in \mathcal{Q}$. Here $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are $\mathcal{F}$-invariant intervals that together satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 1.19. Then, by Lemma 1.19(a), it is enough to show that $L^1(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{Q}) = 0$ in order to conclude that $L^2(\mathcal{F};R) = 0$. Fix a 1-cocycle t for the functor $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{Q}}$. To show that t is cohomologous to 0, we may assume by Lemma 3.21 that t is inclusion-normalized. Let $\tau : \Gamma^* \to \Gamma^*$ be the rigid map associated with t.

As in the finite case, the proof splits into two cases. In Case 1, we assume that some member of $\mathcal{A}$ has order at least 4. In Case 2, we assume that every member of $\mathcal{A}$ has order 2. First, fix the following notations. For $X \subseteq \Gamma$, $\overline{X}$ denote its images modulo $C_\Gamma(D)$. If P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a subgroup $H \leq \Gamma$, such that every member of $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{P}$ has order 2, define $\mathcal{B}(P,H)$ to be the collection of subgroups in $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{P}$ that are not solitary in $\overline{H}$ relative to $\overline{P}$. In both cases, let $\mathcal{B}(P)$ denote the set of subgroups in $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{P}$ that are semisolitary relative to $\overline{P}$, and set $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid |A| \geq 4\}$.

Define

$$J_1(P) = J_{\mathcal{A}_{\geq 4}}(P); \text{ and}$$
$$J_2(P) = J_{\mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} \cup \mathcal{B}}(P).$$

Thus $Y \leq J_1(P) \leq J_2(P) \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ whenever $Y \geq P$. We now define mappings W_1 and W_2 on $\mathcal{S}_p(S)$, to be employed in the respective cases. In both cases, set $W_i(P) = P$ if P does not contain Y. For $P \geq Y$, set

$$W_1(P) = \begin{cases} J_1(P) & \text{if } \mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} \cap \overline{P} \neq \emptyset; \\ J_2(P) & \text{if } \mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} \cap \overline{P} = \emptyset \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(P) \neq \emptyset; \text{ and} \\ J_{\mathcal{A}}(P) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$W_2(P) = \begin{cases} J_{\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma)}(S) & \text{whenever } J_{\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma)}(S) \leq P; \text{ and} \\ J_{\mathcal{A}}(P) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In either case, notice that $W_i(P) \in \mathcal{Q}$, and that $W_i(W_i(P)) = W_i(P)$ whenever $P \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Let W be either W_1 or W_2, Then $W(S)$ is normal in S, so the restriction of τ to $N_\Gamma(W(S))$ is conjugation by an element $z \in Z(S)$ by Lemma 3.22(a). Upon replacing t by tdu where u is the constant 0-cochain defined by $u(Q) = z^{-1}$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and upon replacing τ by the rigid map associated with tdu, we may assume by Lemma 3.22(b) that

3.24 *τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(S))$.*

Recall from the definition of W_1 above that $W_1(P) \neq 1$ whenever $P \neq 1$. Moreover, all subcollections used in defining W_1 are G-invariant Therefore, W_1 is an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor in the sense of Definition 3.15. If $W = W_2$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$, W is an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor as then $\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma)$ is $\mathcal{F}$-weakly closed in S by Lemma 3.11. By Theorem 3.19, the collection $\mathcal{C}$ of subgroups of S which are well-placed with respect to W forms a conjugacy family for $\mathcal{F}$. If a subgroup P is well-placed with respect to W, then so is $W(P)$ because $W(W(P)) = W(P)$. Set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{Q \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \mid W(Q) = Q\}.$$

If $Q \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q}$, then $W(Q) \in \mathcal{W}$, and $N_\Gamma(Q) \leq N_\Gamma(W(Q))$ (by Definition 3.15 of a conjugacy functor). Therefore, by Lemma 3.22(c):

3.25 *If τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(Q)$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{W}$, then τ is the identity on Γ^*.*

For each $Q \in \mathcal{W}$, the restriction of τ to $H = N_\Gamma(Q)$ is conjugation by an element $z_H \in Z(N_S(Q))$. But $Z(N_S(Q)) \leq Z(Y) = D$ by Lemma 3.22(a). Hence, if $N_H(W(N_S(Q)))$ fixes z_H, and this normalizer controls fixed points in H on D, then τ is the identity on H. This is the key point, and we record it as follows.

3.26 *Assume $Q \in \mathcal{W}$ and $H = N_\Gamma(Q)$. If τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(N_S(Q)))$ and $C_D(H) = C_D(N_\Gamma(W(N_S(Q))))$ then τ is the identity on H.*

We now distinguish between the two cases. In each, we prove that τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(Q)$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{W}$ by induction on the index of $N_S(Q)$ in S. Notice that this index is always finite since $Q \geq Y$ and $Y \geq \Gamma_0$ by definition of a general setup. Fix $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and set $S^* = N_S(Q)$ and $H = N_\Gamma(Q)$ for short.

The norm arguments in Section 3.1 are statements about control of fixed points in G. Each member of $\mathcal{Q}$ contains $Y = C_S(D)$ by definition and so, Lemma 2.3 provides the transition from control of fixed points by normalizers within G and those within Γ. We apply Lemma 2.3 implicitly for this transition in the arguments that follows.

Case 1 Some member of $\mathcal{A}$ has order at least 4.

Put $W = W_1$. Assume first that $S^* = S$. Since Case 1 holds, the collection $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} := \mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ_{\geq 4} \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)^\circ$ is nonempty. Hence, $W(S^*) = J(S)$ by definition of W. By Lemma 3.6, (3.1.1) is satisfied with $(\overline{H}, \overline{S}, D, \mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} \cap \overline{H}, N_{\overline{H}}(\overline{J_1(S)}))$ in the role of the five-tuple $(G, S, D, \mathcal{A}, H)$ of that lemma. Hence, $C_D(H) = C_D(N_H(J_1(S)))$ by Corollary 3.2. Furthermore, τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(S)) = N_\Gamma(J_1(S))$ by 3.24, so that τ is the identity on H by 3.26.

Now assume that $S^* < S$. If $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} \cap \overline{S^*} \neq \emptyset$ (that is $J_1(S^*) > Y$), then $W(S^*) = J_1(S^*)$, and $C_D(H) = C_D(N_H(W(S^*)))$ by Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 3.2 as before. By Lemma 3.16(c), $S^* < N_S(W(S^*))$ and thus τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(S^*)))$ by induction. Thus τ is the identity on H by 3.26.

Assume for the remainder of Case 1 that $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 4} \cap \overline{S^*}$ is empty. In particular, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G) \cap \overline{S^*}$ is empty. However, $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*}$ is nonempty since $S^* > Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ (if $Q \in Q$, $J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q) > Y$), and every member of $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*}$ is of order 2. Moreover,

(3.3.2) $\qquad Q = W(Q) \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q) \leq J_A(S^*) \qquad$ and $\qquad \overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)}$ is elementary abelian.

from Lemma 3.7(c).

Assume next that $\mathcal{B}(S^*)$ is nonempty. Then $W(S^*) = J_2(S^*) > Y$. Since no member of $\mathcal{B}(S^*)$ is solitary in $\overline{H}$ relative to $\overline{S^*}$ by Remark 3.10, we see that $\mathcal{B}(S^*) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(S^*, H)$, and that

$$W(S^*) = J_2(S^*) = J_{\mathcal{B}(S^*)}(S^*) \leq J_{\mathcal{B}(S^*,H)}(S^*).$$

Since, by (3.3.2), $\overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)}$ is elementary abelian and the collection of semisolitary subgroups relative to $\overline{S^*}$ is invariant under conjugation in $N_{\overline{H}}(\overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)})$, [GL] Lemma B.1 and Lemma 2.3 shows that $W(S^*)$ is weakly closed in S^* with respect to H. Apply Lemma 3.13 with $(\overline{H}, \overline{S^*}, D, \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*}, \overline{W(S^*)})$ in the role of the five-tuple $(G, S, D, \mathcal{A}, J^*)$ of that Lemma to obtain the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2, which gives $C_D(H) = C_D(N_H(W(S^*)))$ as before. But, since $S^* < S$,by Lemma 3.16(c), $S^* < N_S(W(S^*))$. As τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(S^*))$ by induction, we have that τ is the identity on H by 3.26.

Finally, assume that $\mathcal{B}(S^*)$ is empty. Then $W(S^*) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)$ by the definition of W, and every element of $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*}$ is semisolitary relative to $\overline{S^*}$. We will show that this leads to a contradiction. Since Case 1 holds, $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*) < J_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$. Now $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*) < J_{\mathcal{A}}(N_S(J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)))$ (and by Lemma 3.16(b)) and so, there exists $A \leq S$ with $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S}$ such that

$$A \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(N_S(J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*))) \qquad \text{but} \qquad A \not\leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*). \tag{3.3.3}$$

It follows from the definitions that each subgroup of S^* that is semisolitary relative to S^* is also semisolitary relative to $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)$. As A normalizes $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)$, we see that $\overline{A}$ permutes the elements of $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*} = \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)}$ by conjugation. We are thus in the situation of Lemma 3.14 with $\overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)}$ in the role of P, $\overline{A}$ in the role of A and $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*}$ in the role of $\mathcal{T}$ there. By that lemma, $\overline{A}$ normalizes every member of $\mathcal{A} \cap S^*$. Thus A normalizes each of their preimages in S. However, Q is generated by the preimage of a subset of $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S^*}$ by (3.3.2), where $W(Q) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q)$ in the current situation. Hence, A normalize Q. But then $A \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(N_S(Q)) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*)$, and we have a contradiction to (3.3.3). This completes the proof in Case 1.

Case 2 Each member of $\mathcal{A}$ is of order 2.

Put $W = W_2$ and assume first that $S^* = S$. By asumption, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$, and so $\hat{A}_D(G) = \emptyset$ by Remark 3.5. Set $J = J_{\mathcal{B}(S,H)}(S)$ and note that $W(S) = J_{\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma)}(S)$ by the definition of W_2. By Definition 3.8, every element of $\mathcal{A}$ that is solitary in $\overline{H}$ relative to $\overline{S}$ is also solitary in G relative to $\overline{S}$. Thus $\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(S,H)$, and

$$W(S) \leq J \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(S).$$

We saw earlier that (after 3.24) that $W(S)$ is weakly closed in S with respect to Γ whenever $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)_2$, which holds in the present case. So we may apply Lemma 3.13 with $(\overline{H}, \overline{S}, D, \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{H}, \overline{W(S)})$ in the role of the five-tuple $(G, S, D, \mathcal{A}, J^*)$ of that Lemma to obtain the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2. This yields $C_D(H) = C_D(N_H(W(S)))$. Furthermore, τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(S))$ by 3.24, so that τ is the identity on H by 3.26.

Assume now that $S^* < S$. As $\overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S)}$ is elementary abelian by Lemma 3.7 and $Q \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$Q = W(Q) \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q) \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(S),$$

and $\overline{J_{\mathcal{A}}(S)}$ centralizes $\overline{Q}$. Hence $J_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \leq S^*$ so that

$$J_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(S^*).$$

Since $J_{\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma)}(S) \leq J_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$, this shows that $W(S^*) = J_{\mathcal{B}(S,\Gamma)}(S) = W(S)$. As in the situation where Q was normal in S, we have $\mathcal{B}(S^*,\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(S^*,H)$ and $W(S^*)$ is weakly closed in S^* with respect to H. Apply Lemma 3.13 with $(\overline{H}, \overline{S^*}, D, \mathcal{A} \cap H, \overline{W(S^*)})$ in the role of the five-tuple $(D, S, D, \mathcal{A}, J^*)$ of that lemma to obtain the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2. this yields $C_D(H) = C_D(N_H(W(S^*)))$ as before. Furthermore, since $W(S^*) = W(S)$, τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(W(S^*))$ by 3.25, so that τ is the identity on H by 3.26. This conclude the proof in Case 2.

□

3.4 The transvection case

The aim of this section is to give a proof of [LL] Proposition 3.5 for $p = 2$ in Proposition 3.29.

We first give a classification of finite groups which have no nontrivial normal 2-subgroups and are generated by solitary offenders. The result is a consequence, using Lemma 3.12, of the classification given by Glauberman and Lynd [GL] in Theorem 6.2 that was recalled here in Theorem 3.27. The key to the proof of Theorem 3.27 is McLaughlin's classification of irreducible subgroups of $SL_n(2)$ generated by transvections, which stands independently off the classification of finite simple groups.

Here, by a *natural* S_m-module ($m \geq 3$), we mean the non-trivial composition factor of the standard permutation module of S_m over the field with two elements.

Theorem 3.27 ([GL] Theorem 6.2) *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian 2-group on which G acts faithfully. Set $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_D(G)$. Assume that $O_2(G) = 1$ and that $G = \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is generated by its solitary offenders. Then there exist a positive integer r and subgroups $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_r$ of G such that,*

(a) $G = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_r$, $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T} \cap E_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{T} \cap E_r)$, *and* $E_i \cong S_{m_i}$ *with m_i odd for each i; and*

(b) $D = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r \times C_D(G)$ *with* $V_i = [D, E_i]$ *a natural S_{m_i}-module, and with* $[V_i, E_j] = 1$ *for* $j \neq 1$.

Corollary 3.28 *Let G be a finite group, $S \in Syl_2(G)$ and let D be an abelian discrete 2-toral group on which G acts faithfully. Set $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_D(G)$. Assume that $O_2(G) = 1$ and that $G = \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is generated by its solitary offenders. Then there exist a positive integer r and subgroups $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_r$ of G such that,*

(a) $G = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_r$, $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T} \cap E_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{T} \cap E_r)$, *and* $E_i \cong S_{m_i}$ *with m_i odd for each i; and*

(b) $D = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r \times C_D(G)$ *with* $V_i = [D, E_i]$ *a natural S_{m_i}-module, and with* $[V_i, E_j] = 1$ *for* $j \neq 1$.

Proof By Lemma 1.26 and Lemma 3.12, if n is large enough we have $D = D_0\Omega_n(D)$ and $\mathcal{T}_D(G) = \mathcal{T}_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$. In particular, under the hypothesis of Corollary 3.28, we are under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.27 with $\Omega_n(D)$ in the role of D. Thus, by Theorem 3.27, $G = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_r$, $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T} \cap E_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{T} \cap E_r)$, and $E_i \cong S_{m_i}$ with m_i odd for each i. We also have by Theorem 3.27 that $\Omega_n(D) = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r \times C_{\Omega_n(D)}(G)$ where $V_i = [\Omega_n(D), E_i]$ is a natural S_{m_i}-module, and with $[V_i, E_j] = 1$ for $j \neq 1$. Moreover, as $D_0 \leq C_D(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $G = \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$, $D_0 \leq C_D(G)$ and, for every i, $V_i = [\Omega_n(D), E_i] = [D, E_i]$. Thus the corollary holds. □

Proposition 3.29 (cf. [GL] Proposition 6.9) *Let (Γ, S, Y) be a general setup for the prime 2. Set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$, $D = Z(Y)$, and $G = \Gamma/C_\Gamma(D)$. Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant interval such that for each $Q \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$, $Q \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $J_{\mathcal{A}_D(G)}(Q) = R$. Then $L^k(\mathcal{F}; R) = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$.*

Proof Assume the hypotheses of the proposition, but assume that the conclusion is false. Let $(\Gamma, S, Y, \mathcal{R}, k)$ be a counterexample for which the four-tuple $(k, \mathrm{ord}(\Gamma), |\Gamma/Y|, |\mathcal{R}|)$ is minimal in the left lexicographic ordering. Steps 1-3 in the proof of [LL], Proposition 3.5 show that $\mathcal{R} = \{R \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y} \leq S \mid J_{\mathcal{A}_D(G)}(R) = Y\}$, $k = 2$, and (Γ, S, Y) is a reduced setup.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_D(G)^\circ \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)^\circ$. Since (Γ, S, Y) is a counter-example, Theorem 3.23 shows:

3.30 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_D(G)$.

That is, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_D(G)$ is empty and every best offender minimal under inclusion is solitary in G relative to $\overline{S}$. Since $L^2(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{R}) \neq 0$, Lemma 1.19 implies:

3.31 $L^1(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{Q}) \neq 0$.

We prove next that

3.32 $G = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$.

Proof Let $G_0 = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Let Γ_0 be the preimage of G_0 in Γ, set $S_0 = S \cap \Gamma_0$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_{S_0}(\Gamma_0)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y} \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Then $\Gamma_0 \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ and $Y \leq S_0$. Furthermore, (Γ_0, Y, S_0) is a reduced setup and $\mathcal{Q}_0$ is an $\mathcal{F}_0$-invariant interval. Since each member of $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in G_0, we have $\Gamma_0 \cap Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. By Lemma 1.20, the restriction map induces an injection $L^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{Q}) \to L^1(\mathcal{F}_0;\mathcal{Q}_0)$ and so $L^1(\mathcal{F}_0;\mathcal{Q}_0) \neq 0$ by 3.31. Hene $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ by minimlity of $|\Gamma|$. □

By 3.32, we are under the hypothesis of Corollary 3.28, and G and its action on D are described by Corollary 3.28. We adopt the notation in that corollary for the remainder of the proof. In the decomposition given by 3.28(b) each V_i is G invariant, and so each is S-invariant. Thus the centralizer of S in D factors as

3.33 $C_D(S) = C_{V_1}(S) \times C_{V_2}(S) \times \cdots \times C_{V_r}(S) \times C_D(G)$.

Fix an inclusion-normalized 1-cocyle t for $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ representing a non-zero class in $L^1(\mathcal{F};\mathcal{Q})$ (which is nontrivial by 3.31), and let $\tau : \Gamma^* \to \Gamma^*$ be the rigid map associated with t. We show that

3.34 $r = 1$.

Proof Assume $r > 1$. Set $G_1 = E_1$ and $G_2 = E_2 \times E_3 \times \cdots \times E_r$. For $i = 1,2$, let K_i be the preimage of G_i in Γ and set $\Gamma_i = K_i S$ and $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma_i)$. We have $\Gamma_i < \Gamma$ and (Γ_i, S, Y) is a general setup by assumption. Hence $L^1(\mathcal{F}_i;\mathcal{Q}) \cong L^2(\mathcal{F}_i;\mathcal{R}) = 0$ by minimality of $\mathrm{ord}(\Gamma)$. As the restriction of t to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_i^c)$ represents the zero class and is inclusion-normalized, and since $S \in \mathcal{Q}$, the restriction of t to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}_i^c)$ is of the form du with u a constant 0-cochain. It follows from that and Lemma 3.22 (b), there are elements $z_i \in Z(S) = C_D(S)$ ((Γ, S, Y) is a reduced setup) such that τ is the conjugation by z_i on Γ_i^*. By 3.33 we can in fact choose z_i such that $z_i \in [D, \Gamma_i] \leq C_D(\Gamma_{3-i})$. Set $t' = tdu$ where u is the constant 0-cochain define by $u(P) = (z_1 z_2)^{-1}$ for each $P \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then by Lemma 3.21(b), upon replacing t by t' and τ by τ', we may assume that τ is the identity when restricted to Γ_i^* for each $i = 1,2$.

The objective is now to show that τ is the identity on Γ^*. Let W be the $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy functor defined by $W(P) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$ for each $P \geq Y$ and by $W(P) = P$ otherwise. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection of subgroups P of S such that $W(P) = P$ and P is well-placed with respect to W. By Theorem 3.19 the family of well-placed subgroups of S is a conjugacy family for $\mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 3.16(a), for each $P \leq S$, $N_\Gamma(P) \leq N_\Gamma(W(P))$, and, by Definition of $J_{\mathcal{A}}(P)$, $W(W(P)) = W(P)$. Hence the collection $\mathcal{C}$ is also a conjugacy family for $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, as t is inclusion-normalized, by Lemma 5.2(c), it suffices to show that τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(Q)$ for each $Q \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q}$.

Let $Q \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Thus $Q = W(Q) = J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q)$ and the image $\overline{Q}$ of Q in G is generated by members of $\mathcal{A}$. It follow from Corollary 3.28(a) that $Q = Q_1Q_2$ with $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Y$, where $\overline{Q_1}$ and $\overline{Q_2}$ are the projections in G_1 and G_2 of $\overline{Q}$. If it happens that $Q_i \notin \mathcal{Q}$ for $i = 1$ or 2, this means that $Q_i \in \mathcal{R}$ and thus, as $Q = J_{\mathcal{A}}(Q)$, $Q_i = Y$. Since $Y \notin \mathcal{Q}$ but $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ we may assume without loss of generality that $Q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$. As Q is well-placed and $W(Q) = Q$, Q is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $g_2 \in N_\Gamma(Q_2)$, and write $g = g_1g_2$ with $g_i \in K_i$. As (Γ, S, Y) is a reduced setup, $C_S(D) = Y$. Also, $Y \leq Q_2 \leq N_\Gamma(Q)$, thus, by Lemma 2.3, $\overline{N_\Gamma(Q_2)} = N_G(\overline{Q_2}) = G_1 \times N_{G_2}(\overline{Q_2})$. Since $\overline{g_1} \in G_1$ centralize $\overline{Q_2}$, we may write $g_1 = h_1c_1$ where $h_1 \in C_{\Gamma_1}(Q_2/Y) \leq N_{\Gamma_1}(Q_2)$ and $c_1 \in C_\Gamma(D)$. So, as $h_1 \in (\Gamma_1)^*$ and $c_1g_2 \in (\Gamma_2)^*$ (both sends Q_2 to Q_2), we see that τ is the identity on $N_\Gamma(Q)$ since $N_\Gamma(Q) \leq N_\Gamma(Q_2)$. We conclude that τ is the identity on Γ^*, which is a contradiction. □

By 3.34, we may fix $m = 2n + 1$ so that $G = E_1 \cong S_m$. Write Ω the set of even-order subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Identify G with S_m and $V := V_1$ with Ω. We may assume that S stablizes the collection $\{\{2i-1, 2i\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{S} = \{\langle(1,2)\rangle, \langle(2,3)\rangle, \cdots, \langle(2n-1, 2n)\rangle\}$ and thus:

(3.4.1) $g \in \Gamma^*$ if and only if there is $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $(2i-1, 2i)^{\overline{g}} = (2j-1, 2j)$

where $\overline{g}$ is the image of g in G.

Let $A \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$ be such that the image $\overline{A}$ of A in G is $\langle(1,2), (3,4), \dots, (2n-1, 2n)\rangle$. Set $H = N_\Gamma(A)$. Since $C_S(V) = Y \leq A$, by lemma 2.3, $\overline{H} = N_G(\overline{A})$ is isomorphic to $A \rtimes S_n$. Let also $A_1 \in \mathcal{S}_p(S)_{\geq Y}$ be such that $\overline{A_i} = \langle(2i-1, 2i)\rangle$ and let $K_i = N_\Gamma(A_i)$. As before, Since $C_S(V) = Y \leq A$, wehave $\overline{K_i} = N_G(\langle(2i-1, 2i)\rangle)$. Here $A_1^{\mathcal{F}} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} = A_1^{\mathcal{F}_S(H)}$. Thus, changing S by an H-conjugate, we can assume that A_1 is fully normalized. We next show:

3.35 $\Gamma^* = HK_1H$.

Proof Indeed, for each $h_1kh_2 \in HK_1H$, $\overline{h_1}, \overline{h_2}$ acts by conjugation on $\{(1,2), (3,4), \cdots, (2n, 2n-1)\}$, and thus, if we write $(2i-1, 2i) = (1,2)^{\overline{h_1}^{-1}}$ and $(2j, 2j-1) = (1,2)^{\overline{h_2}}$, $(2i-1, 2i)^{\overline{h_1kh_2}} = (2j-1, 2j)$ and, by (3.4.1), $h_1kh_2 \in \Gamma^*$. Conversely, if $g \in \Gamma^*$, by (3.4.1), there are $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $(2i-1, 2i)^{\overline{g}} = (2j-1, 2j)$. Then, if we take $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $(2i-1, 2i) = (1,2)^{\overline{h_1}^{-1}}$ and $(2j, 2j-1) = (1,2)^{\overline{h_2}}$, we have $(1,2)^{\overline{h_1^{-1}gh_2^{-1}}} = (1,2)$ which implies that $h_1^{-1}gh_2^{-1} \in K_1$ and $h_1(h_1^{-1}gh_2^{-1})h_2 \in HK_1H$. □

By 3.35, it is enough to show that τ is the identity on H and K_1. First of all, as $A \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{F}^f$, by 3.22(a) and as $A \trianglelefteq S$, there is $z \in Z(S)$ such that the restriction of τ to H is the conjugation by z. Hence, by Lemma 3.22(b), we may adjust t by a coboundary to get that τ is the identity on H.

We also know that $A_1 \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{F}^f$ and then, by Lemma 3.22(a), the restriction of τ to K_1 is the conjugation by an element z in V (recall that $D = V \times C_D(\Gamma)$). Remark also that τ is the identity on $K_1 \cap H$ which imply that $z \in C_D(K_1 \cap H) \subset$. Now,

$$\overline{K_1 \cap H} = \langle(1,2)\rangle \times \big(\langle(3,4), \dots, (2n-1, 2n)\rangle \rtimes S_{n-1}\big)$$

Thus, if we write $z_1 = \{1, 2\} \in V$,$z_1' = \{3, 4, \dots 2n\} \in V$ and $z = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\} = z_1z_1' \in V$,

$$C_V(K_1 \cap H) = \langle z_1, z_1'\rangle.$$

As $z \in C_V(H)$ and $z_1 \in C_V(K_1)$, $c_z = c_{z_1'}$ on K_1 and we can, if necessary, change t by the coboundary du where u is the constant 0-cochain defined by $u(P) = z$ for $P \in \mathcal{Q}$, to get that τ is the identity on H and K and thus on Γ^* by 3.35. □

References

[AKO] Michael Aschbacher, Radha Kessar, and Bob Oliver. *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, volume 391 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[BLO2] Carles Broto, Ran Levi, and Bob Oliver. The homotopy theory of fusion systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):779–856, 2003.

[BLO3] Carles Broto, Ran Levi, and Bob Oliver. Discrete models for the p-local homotopy theory of compact Lie groups and p-compact groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 11:315–427, 2007.

[Ch] Andrew Chermak. Fusion systems and localities. *Acta Math.*, 211(1):47–139, 2013.

[GL] George Glauberman and Justin Lynd. Control of fixed points and existence and uniqueness of centric linking systems. arXiv:1506.01307, 2015.

[Gl71] George Glauberman. Global and local properties of finite groups. In *Finite simple groups (Proc. Instructional Conf., Oxford, 1969)*, pages 1–64. Academic Press, London, 1971.

[LL] Ran Levi and Assaf Libman. Existence and uniqueness of classifying spaces for fusion systems over discrete p-toral groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 91(1):47–70, 2015.

[McL69] Jack McLaughlin. Some subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_2)$. *Illinois J. Math.*, 13:108–115, 1969.

[O5] Bob Oliver. Existence and uniqueness of linking systems: Chermak's proof via obstruction theory. *Acta Math.*, 211(1):141–175, 2013.

[OV2] Bob Oliver and Joana Ventura. Saturated fusion systems over 2-groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(12):6661–6728, 2009.

molinier@ksu.edu